

Assessing readiness for hearing rehabilitation

Citation for published version (APA):

Chenault, M. N. (2015). *Assessing readiness for hearing rehabilitation*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Datawyse / Universitaire Pers Maastricht. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20151022mc>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2015

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20151022mc](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20151022mc)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Propositions

1. Hearing aid stigma is more prevalent among those who either wear a hearing aid or those with no hearing impairment at all.
2. The added information of the middle response category of the HARQ items is negligible.
3. The fact that hearing handicap is reported by non-hearing impaired persons suggests that it is not just hearing impairment which impedes successful communication.
4. Third Person Disability plays an important factor in determining health status pertaining to hearing.
5. Failing audiometric screening cannot be equated with being aware of one's hearing impairment but rather as a factor contributing to that awareness.
6. Not seeking help for hearing impairment should not be viewed as unhealthy behavior until the individual experiences disability and declines taking steps to remedy it.
7. Children should learn about the importance of hearing for a good quality of life.
8. Better technology is still needed to address the functioning of hearing aids in situations with background noise.
9. Item Response Theory is not only a valuable tool for refining questionnaire items to provide optimal care but contributes to a better understanding of the patient journey.
10. While the state of perfection exists only in theory, the practice of striving towards perfection is a necessary but not sufficient condition to attain any semblance of perfection.
11. There is one thing worse than having too much work, and that is not having any work at all.
12. Postponing writing a PhD thesis until retirement is cost-effective and less stressful for the candidate.